#### Chapter 5

## Muhajirs on the Jewish/Zionist Path

The study of Urdu-speaking or Muhajir community of Pakistan generally and of Sindh province specifically is really interesting, revealing, enlightening, and a store house of guidance for any concerned and capable leader or man of substance of Pakistan who is really worried about the future of his country. Let us see what we can learn from the following piece: Herald exclusive: Nine-Zero: The centre (still) holds by Alizeh Kohari – Updated Apr 15, 2015 (Dawn). This story was originally published in Herald magazine's July 2014 issue:

Manzil unhey milee jo shareek-e-safar na thay (Those who reached the destination were not even part of the original journey). – Mohsin Bhopali, Urdu poet

Many years ago, a community crossed a hastily drawn border and learnt to call a new country home. The community was the chief proponent of the idea of that country, and so, although its numbers were relatively few, it formed a large part of the country's ruling elite. Whether it considered itself a community at that time, in the strictest sense of the word, is unclear: millions of people migrated at partition, but the term 'Mohajir' now has a very specific meaning. What we do know is this: Within the span of a generation, the community credited as the chief architect of the nation became its most vocal 'victim'.

Many reasons are given for the subsequent mobilization of the Urdu-speaking community and the rise of the Mohajir Quami Movement (MQM): it was predicated on a demand for greater jobs in the state apparatus, including the civil bureaucracy and the military; it was an organic awakening of identity consciousness; it was an inevitable outcome of Pakistan's unstable politics, driven in some part by the fact that the political elite comprised refugees.

Whatever the reason, the fact is that the pendulum swung the other way, perhaps too far the other way, and the Mohajirs – specifically the migrants from Muslim minority states and provinces in India – emerged as a 'fifth nationality' in Pakistan.

\*

Victims or the problem number one for Pakistan? There is a sense of loss, nostalgia, separateness, being righteous and what not, ignoring all others as if they do not exist. Did Mohsin Bhopali Sahib mean, whenever he wrote this, that Punjabis and others had reached the destination? What a reading of history, it is just childish! There is the mention of 'centre' and the fifth nationality of Pakistan, not second nationality of Sindh. They have everything justifying a new province or country —

history of victimhood, name of their nationality or nation, language, centre, and fighting experience. And they know who is who and what is what in Pakistan.

The writer of this piece must be Urdu-speaking, Herald and Dawn are Urdu-speaking, and the article is addressed to the Urdu-speaking, because all others – Punjabis, Pashtuns, Sindhis, and Baluchis – cannot comprehend the complexity of the situation, the prime cause of which were the ancestors of the same Urdu-speakers. If a king believes he is the oppressed, what space is there for the grievances of his people? The most-benefitted from the partition are now claiming to be the victims snatching the space from the real victims – collectively the peoples of Pakistan. Their always being on the centre-stage means the problems of the others and the country will continue to be pushed under the carpet.

In the recently held Karachi by-election on 23 April, 2015 of National Assembly's NA-246 seat Muttahida Qaumi Movement's (MQM) candidate bagged 95,644 votes while Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) managed to secure 24,821 votes. Jamaat-e-Islami (JI) came third with 9056 votes. The total number of registered voters in the constituency are 357,801 while 131,424 votes were cast in the by-election with 36.72 per cent turn out. (GEO TV)

Overall and collectively the circumstances under which this election was held were generally conceived to be going against the MQM. What the result signifies? First, the peoples of Pakistan have got generally alienated from the present politics of the country. Less vote turn out in this election, I believe, confirms this assessment. In Indian Kashmir elections, for example 'in 2008, 60 per cent voted; 2014 saw 66 per cent turnout'. (Arun Joshi, The Tribune, May 04, 2015). And the obvious is not visible to anyone. The ideology, culture, and habits can play such a role. In this by-election fought in Sindh, who should have been present were totally missing – Sindhis! The candidates were non-Sindhis, the voters were non-Sindhis, and the issues were non-Sindhi. And all this was happening on the land of Sindh. In Israel everything is Jewish and Zionist, only land is Arab and Palestinian.

Second, although MQM won, it is not immune from this alienation trend. Third, Muhajir 'solidarity' to the extent expressed in this by-election is indicative of their state of mind as discussed above which is to remain exclusive and stick together.

\*

According to the CIA World Factbook (last updated on May 01, 2015), population of Pakistan (July 2016 est.) is 201,995,540 of which 7.6% (15,351,661) are Muhajirs.

The population of Pakistan (excluding the then East Pakistan) is stated to be 34 million in 1951. Its 7.6% is 2,584,000 which approximately can be considered to be the population of Muhajirs in Pakistan in 1947 and near after.

By the mid-50s over a million Muhajirs had settled in Karachi, completely changing its demography. They formed over 60 percent of the population, while the Sindhi ratio dropped to some 7 percent. [5]

#### [5] Babar Ayaz, Daily Times, September 11, 2013

I was working on this data because it came to my notice somewhere that the Muhajir leadership was claiming to be the descendants of the founders of Pakistan who had sacrificed two million lives for the creation of Pakistan. Their claim perfectly follows the pattern what Jews/Zionists propagated - six million Jewish deaths - to achieve their objectives at an unimaginable cost to other nations. Interestingly, when even this figure of two millions was like a mountain of lies for me, I came across the figure of three millions!

The Daily Times of Lahore reported on 30-1-2015: 'He (Altaf Hussain) said that three million Muhajirs sacrificed their lives for the creation of Pakistan. He said that the immigrants from India supported Mohtarma Fatima Jinnah in the 1964 general election against military ruler General Ayub Khan.'

Earlier the ARY NEWS had reported: 'Addressing gathering of workers at party headquarters Nine Zero, he (Altaf Hussain) regretted that Muhajirs have never been accepted [by some elements having strong clout in country's politics] in Pakistan as a community. He said three million *Muhajirs* sacrificed their lives for the country. In East Pakistan, he said, *Muhajirs* supported and stood by Pakistan Army.'[6]

If 2,584,000 of them reached Pakistan and 3,000,000 were killed in India, it means more than half of them were killed in 1947!!! This is Jewish/Zionist propaganda style. Obviously, they have learnt the 'way to successes at others' cost. They tried this before and were successful in 1947.

These lies should not be taken lightly. It should be understood that historically established cultures and societies of Pakistan and consequently Pakistan itself are vulnerable to Muhajir manipulation. Most Pakistanis take MQM a transitory phenomenon – at best an irritant but not a serious threat. They are wrong. Another point, using terrorist and victimhood tactics repeatedly may attract Muhajirs sitting on the fence to the 'cause'. On every lucrative spot of every branch of Pakistan, public or private, there is Muhajir presence. But many of them do not project themselves publically as Muhajirs, like for example Mirza Aslam Beg and Musharraf. This can change. Thus the likelihood of this human capital getting together and combined with the experience of MQM, there is the possibility of the

emergence of a new platform. The positions of two Urdu-speaking army chiefs regarding MQM clearly indicate where the sympathies of so many effectively placed Urdu-speaking persons normally lie.

\*

Abbas Nasir, a former editor of Dawn, wrote in the Dawn of April 18, 2015: 'The PPP had launched a crackdown against the MQM. The army chief Gen Mirza Aslam Beg was extremely unhappy with the prime minister. Against this backdrop, addressing the Ladies Club, the army chief's wife had reportedly launched a tirade against the PPP, decrying what she termed the brutal atrocities that were being visited upon the 'poor Mohajirs who had sacrificed so much for Pakistan' by the Benazir Bhutto-led government. I couldn't believe my ears but the account was corroborated by other sources. A few days later it was reported in the Herald, along with a cover story that focused on the PPP-army tussle in which the president, Ghulam Ishaq Khan was overtly siding with GHQ. ... With the 1999 coup and General Pervez Musharraf's long tenure at the helm, it was again given a carte blanche to run urban Sindh. This period saw unprecedented flow of development funds to, and projects being completed in, Karachi in particular. It was also when almost all police officers who had spearheaded the operation against it in Benazir Bhutto's second term were eliminated. The peak of this close relationship was evidenced when the then suspended chief justice was stopped in May 2007 from entering Karachi mostly by the MQM reportedly at the behest of Gen Musharraf's military intelligence chief Maj-Gen Nadeem Aijaz\*.'

\*The name of military intelligence chief is uncalled-for here. And it is also dishonest to say 'by the MQM reportedly'. The correct position was: '... from entering Karachi by the MQM at the behest of Gen. Musharraf.'

\*

Separation of Sindh from Pakistan, as the first step, can also be demanded as it may be made to look attractive to many Sindhis. Every failure of Pakistani state and politics which is the norm in Pakistan will give added legitimacy to such a platform. And if any outside power finds it in its interest to support, the die would have been cast.

Anyhow, coming back to the 3 million dead is very familiar to me as I have worked on what Jews/Zionists or Israel claim their 6 million dead. You can see the similarity of a round figure in both the cases. But before we go there, let us see what happened in India in 1947. For that I again went through the book 'Freedom at Midnight' (1975). [7] On page 396, the writers state: 'No one would ever know how many people lost their lives during those terrible weeks in the Punjab in the autumn of 1947. So chaotic were the circumstances surrounding them, so complete were the province's brief administrative collapse, that it was impossible to make any accurate canvass of deaths. The number of those left to die by the roadside,

thrown into wells, cremated to the flames at their homes or villages, was simply beyond reckoning. The most extravagant estimates would talk of one or two million deaths. The foremost Indian student of the massacres, Judge D. G. Khosla, set the figure at 500,000. Britain's two leading historians of the period—Penderel Moon, who was serving in Pakistan at the time, and H. V. Hodson, would place the deaths at between 200,000 and 250,000. Sir Chandulal Trivedi, India's first governor of the Punjab and the official most connected with events in the province, estimated the toll at 225,000.'

### [7] By Larry Collins and Dominique Lapierre

The writers continue on page 396 and 397: 'The number of refugees, at least, would be known. All fall and well into the winter they would continue to flow through Wagah, across Suleimanki and Balloki Heads, 500,000 this week, 750,000 the next, until the full complement of ten and a half million had been reached. Still another million would be exchanged in more peaceful circumstances in Bengal, the horrors of the Punjab cast a wave of criticism on the last viceroy and India's political leaders.'

And finally, the results of Dr. Ishtiaq Ahmed's authoritative research: 'Out of Punjab's total population, including that of British-administered Punjab and the Punjab princely states, of almost 34 million, 10 million had to flee hearth and home to save their lives. That meant that nearly 30 percent of Punjab's population was forced into flight. At the end of the day, the first case of massive ethnic cleansing was achieved because virtually no Hindu and Sikh was left in Pakistani Punjab and no Muslim in Indian Punjab. Anywhere between 500,000 to 800,000 Hindus, Muslims and Sikhs, men, women, the old and children were killed, and 90,000 women were abducted, oftentimes raped.' [8]

[8] Punjab Ka Batwara: Aik Almyia Hazaar Dastaaney Translated by: Vaseem Butt; Author: Dr Ishtiaq Ahmed Publisher: Karachi, Paramount Books; As reported in Daily Times, 12-5-2015

About communal killings in Delhi, the authors write on page 360: 'The riots had jammed its streets with thousands of Moslems from the surrounding countryside searching for shelter and safety. Inflamed by the horror stories told by Hindu and Sikh refugees pouring into the city, angry at the sight of so many Muslims in their new nation's capital, the Sikhs of the Akali sect and the Hindu fanatics of the R.S.S.S. launched Delhi's wave of terror on the morning of September 3, the day Gandhi ended his fast in Calcutta.'

And on page 362, the writers state that by early in the evening of September 4, more than a thousand had been killed. The new government of India took prompt

action and under the command of ex-viceroy and now the Governor General of India, Mountbatten, an Emergency Committee was set up on September 6, 1947. And as a result it is stated on page 369 that 'at the vital center things had shifted almost overnight.'

And on page 383, they state that 'Delhi's Muslims, most of whom now wanted to flee to Pakistan, were assembled in a series of refugee camps, where they could wait in relative safety for transportation to Mr. Jinnah's Promised Land. ... Between 150,000 and 200,000 people were going to live in those relics of Islam's ancient grandeur' – Humayun's Tomb and Purana Qila (Old Fort).

Further going to google searching 'List of massacres in India' (seen on 11-5-2015), the list begins with Panipat 1761 and ends with Muzaffarnagar roits 2013. In this list the only entry for 1947 is 'After 15 August 1947 (Independent India) | Partition of India | 1947 | Punjab | Estimated 1 million on both sides | Massacre of Sikhs and Hindus by in West Punjab and of Muslims Muslims by Hindus in East Punjab'. Where 300,000 Muhajirs were massacred?

Now compare this what Jews/Zionists did to establish Israel on the Arab/Palestinian lands. It was not for nothing that Adolf Hitler called the Jew as 'The Great Master of Lies'. The Muhajirs have treaded so far on the footprints of Jews/Zionists – manufacturing lies and playing victimhood. The business in these 'commodities', they have discovered like Jews/Zionists, is very profitable. Why and how will they turn away from this and follow a civilized way now? Obviously the responsibilities of other Pakistanis and in particular Sindhis have become more demanding. Can they rise to the occasion, only time will tell? And finally, is it not right to say that the claimants of 'the sacrifices of their forefathers' betray their own crimes of being the murderers of, being the accomplices in the murders of or being the cause of the murders of the forefathers of the other side. No civilized person can behave in a sectarian way mentioning or lamenting the atrocities of 1947 in the subcontinent. Apart from who was or were originally responsible, it was our collective tragedy and must be seen as such.

\*

# Benefits of playing victimhood

The main 'weapon' in the armoury of the Jews-Zionists in the quest for political power had been their alleged persecution at the hands of Christians in different European countries. And it looks that the "Holocaust", as the Jews-Zionists propagate, was the embodiment of all their persecutions in history put together! But then problems cropped up. To many it looked fabrication and a lie. A booklet entitled "Did Six Million Really Die?" by Richard E. Harwood appeared in 1974 in England. After the World War II, there were famous Nuremburg Trials of 139 Germans. The investigators were American who later functioned as prosecutors

also. And they were mostly Jews. What they did? What follows are Richard E. Harwood's conclusions which have been quoted in my book 'Why Israel will not get Recognition?' 2008, Lahore:

'The Six Million' at Nuremberg: "The statements which were admitted as evidence were obtained from men who had first been kept in solitary confinement for three, four and five months ... The investigators would put a black hood over the accused's head and then punch him in the face with brass knuckles, kick him and beat him with rubber hoses ... All but two of the Germans, in the 139 cases we investigated, had been kicked in the testicles beyond repair. This was standard operating procedure with our American investigators." ... "Despite the fact that 'confessions' pertaining to the extermination of the Jews were extracted under these conditions, Nuremberg statements are still regarded as conclusive evidence for the Six Millions, and the illusion is maintained that the Trials were both impartial and impeccably fair. When General Taylor, the Chief Public Prosecutor, was asked where he had obtained the figure of the Six Million, he replied that it was based on the confession of S.S. General Otto Ohlendorf. He, too, was tortured. But as far as such 'confessions' in general are concerned, we can do no better than quote the British Sunday Pictorial when reviewing the report of Judge van Roden: 'Strong men were reduced to broken wrecks ready to mumble any admission demanded by their prosecutors.' ... If there were the slightest likelihood that the Nazis had in fact executed six million Jews, World Jewry would scream for subsidies with which to do research on the question, and Israel would throw its archives and files open to historians. They have not done so. On the contrary they have persecuted anyone who tries to investigate impartially and even call him an anti-Semite. This is really devastating evidence that the figure is a swindle."

West Germany's Holocaust Payoff to Israel and World Jewry: "Certainly the most lucrative expression of this seemingly endless campaign has been West Germany's massive and historically unparalleled reparations payoff to Israel and world Jewry for the alleged collective sins of the German people during the Hitler era. Since 1953, West Germany has paid out more than \$35 billion in reparations to the Zionist state and to millions of individual 'victims of National Socialism.' ... Without the German reparations, the State of Israel would not have the half of its present infrastructure: every train in Israel is German, the ships are German, as well as the electricity, a large part of the industry ... without mentioning the individual pensions paid to the survivors ... In certain years, the amount of money received by Israel from Germany exceeds the total amount of money collected from international Jewry - two or three times as much. ... By 1963, the German people had already paid out 20 billion marks, and by 1984 the total had risen to 70 billion. In late 1987 the West German parliament approved an additional 300 million marks in 'restitution to the victims of National Socialist crimes.' The Bonn government announced at that time the 80 billion marks had already been paid out

and estimated that by the year 2020 the payoff would total 100 billion marks which, at recent exchange rates, would be the equivalent of \$50 billion."

\*

When we know all this, is it not right to say that an 'Israel' exists in Sindh and therefore in Pakistan. There is too much backlog of history on the heads of Muhajirs. Can they unburden themselves? On my part, every effort will be made that they can do this. Without this Pakistan will be in great difficulties. But then, whatever, Pakistan has to move forward. At least their wise men should be afraid of being abandoned. When I say this, it means Pakistan has decided to move forward, *inshaallah*.